IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA SOUTHERN DIVISION No. 7:17-CV-00195-D

Cape Fear Public Utility Authority, et al.,

Plaintiffs.

v. Order

The Chemours Company FC, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

Defendants recently moved for a protective order, asking the court to modify or quash subpoenas that Plaintiffs served on nine individuals. Mot. Protective Order, D.E. 114. Defendants based their motion on the possibility that these nine people—all current or former employees of Defendants—may be asked to provide deposition testimony for both factual purposes and, later, under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6). *Id.* at 1–2. To facilitate efficiency, Defendants suggest, the court should order that the nine individuals may not be deposed until Plaintiffs provide Defendants with a list of matters on which they will seek Rule 30(b)(6) testimony. *Id.* That way, each person will be asked only to sit for a single deposition.

After reviewing the parties' filings and relevant authority, the court declines to grant Defendants' motion. The Federal Rules do not require Plaintiffs to disclose their Rule 30(b)(6) topics before noticing fact depositions. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(d)(3). And while the court could order Plaintiffs to do so, Defendants have not provided concrete reasons justifying a departure from this general rule. Thus, Defendants' motion (D.E. 114) is denied. Each party will bear its own costs.

Dated: November 29, 2022

Robert T Numbers II

Robert T. Numbers, II

United States Magistrate Judge